# **Application Note**

# Carbon Black



DynaCHARGE™ Proves Reliable with Tenacious Carbon Black

Outperforms Competing Charge & Optical Systems

Long-term reliability was needed in processes where most other devices have failed.

## Challenge

Raw carbon black production processes are extremely harsh and demanding. Temperatures can reach 1400°F, moisture is used to control quality, and SO<sub>2</sub> presents corrosion issues. For any type of instrument, carbon black processes are among the most challenging.

For particulate monitoring, the concentrated black powder complicates opacity and light scatter device operation. Carbon black is highly conductive; this challenges triboelectric and other charge-measuring instruments that use a sensing probe, which must remain electrically isolated, to function properly.



The US-EPA is tightening regulations and increasing enforcement within the industry.

This led two carbon black producers experiencing ongoing problems with unreliable particulate monitors, the potential for unforeseen shutdowns, costly refurbishment of sensing elements, and poor existing supply support, to take proactive steps to ensure compliance and improve operations. FilterSense was recommended for better support and more reliable products.

"For 1.5 years, DynaCHARGE™ has not required cleaning or maintenance."

## Solution

FilterSense is confident its DynaCHARGE™ induction technology is a reliable solution for carbon black application, but both producers needed to prove it first hand in their processes.

Plant A tested DynaCHARGE against a competing chargeinduction device and an optical PM CEMS. After one month of initial run time, the plant performed a comparison to increased emissions by creating leaks in baghouse filters.

Plant B required proof of long-term reliability. Having previously tested and ruled out an optical monitor, this plant purchased DynaCHARGE and compared it against their existing charge-sensing systems for over a year. The existing devices require weekly to quarterly cleaning and frequent costly refurbishment. Side-by-side installations were set up on four challenging processes: the dryer drum, the reactor, the main unit filter, and the process filter.

A DynaCHARGE<sup>TM</sup> sensor is installed providing reliable performance in the outlet of a dryer drum; FilterSense engineer observing control unit display.





# **Application Note**

#### Results

#### Plant A

The test team of engineers for Plant A reported they made a unanimous decision to recommend FilterSense. This was based not only on the superior DynaCHARGE™ performance against the competition (Figure 1), but on the lower maintenance and quality proactive support by FilterSense.

They added that while the optical system was "very sophisticated, it was cumbersome, required costly/complex installation, more maintenance, and was simply not practical for our facilities."

#### Plant B

Project engineers found similar response data during the trials, but substantial reliability differences. For one process, the plant did not perform cleaning on the DynaCHARGE for over 1.5 years while the other system initially required weekly cleaning and was improved to monthly. For the other three processes, DynaCHARGE was also not cleaned for over 1.5 years, while the other systems required cleaning every 3-4 months. There were also no signs of refurbishment requirements with the DynaCHARGE sensor.

While response was indeed similar, DynaCHARGE demonstrated higher resolution and lower-level measurement capabilities (Figure 2).

The DynaCHARGE PM 100 PRO systems provide full automatic device health checks and automatic EPA self tests. This provides the plant with even further confidence in FilterSense monitors.

Unlike FilterSense, other sensors required monthly to quarterly cleaning, sometimes weekly!



FilterSense engineer commissioning DynaCHARGE™ PM 100 PRO.

#### "The decision by our team is unanimous. We select FilterSense."

DynaCHARGE™ Accurate Throughout Competing Charge Sensing No Response Optical PM CEMS No Baseline Detection<sup>1</sup> 7/14/2015 12:24 PM 7/14/2015 1:44 PM 7/14/2015 10:45 AM

Figure 1 – Plant A: Low-Level Response Testing


¹DynaCHARGE™ baseline is superior to optical, proper response throughout <sup>2</sup>Competing charge sensor has no response to increased particulate



A Start of Baseline Test Pre-Visible Increase 2x

Pre-Visible Increase 4x

Figure 2 – Plant B: Dryer Drum Comparison



DynaCHARGE™ Competing Charge Sensing Probe

### **Benefits**

DynaCHARGE particulate monitoring technology offers carbon black producers the best available solution to prevent tenacious emissions while minimizing instrument maintenance, repair/refurbish costs, and unplanned down time.

FilterSense B-PACs™ (baghouse control and diagnostic systems) and FilterWARE™ (central HMI/SCADA software) provide significant operational ROI opportunities and further process and compliance optimization.

Proactive, high-quality product support allows producers to focus on core operations and improve profitability.

